



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,904	01/14/2004	Marc A. Boulanger	RPS920030037US1	3081
45211	7590	06/16/2008	EXAMINER	
Robert A. Voigt, Jr.			SCHMIDT, KARI L	
WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK PC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO BOX 50784				2139
DALLAS, TX 75201				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/756,904	BOULANGER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	KARI L. SCHMIDT	2139

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) KARI L. SCHMIDT. (3) Robert A. Voigt, Jr.  
 (2) Christian LaForgia. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 June 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hershey.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative discussed moving claims 2-4 into claim 1 for allowance. The proposed amendments appear to overcome the art. However the examiner notes that further consideration regarding the amendments in light of the art is needed and a updated search may need to be performed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kari L Schmidt/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2139

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.